
**SUBSOIL AND FOUNDATION INVESTIGATION
THE WILD BLUE TERMINAL AND RESTAURANT
STEAMBOAT SKI RESORT
STEAMBOAT SPRINGS, COLORADO**

Prepared by

NWCC, Inc.
2580 Copper Ridge Drive
Steamboat Springs, CO 80487



Prepared for

**Steamboat Ski and Resort Corp.
Lance Miles
2305 Mt. Werner Circle
Steamboat Springs, CO 80487**

NWCC Project NO. 19-11550

July 29, 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 CONCLUSIONS	1
2.0 PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF WORK.....	1
3.0 PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION.....	1
4.0 SITE CONDITIONS	1
5.0 FIELD INVESTIGATION	2
6.0 LABORATORY INVESTIGATION.....	2
7.0 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS.....	2
8.0 FOUNDATION RECOMMENDATIONS	4
9.0 FLOOR SLAB RECOMMENDATIONS.....	5
10.0 PERIMETER DRAINAGE SYSTEM RECOMMENDATIONS	6
11.0 FOUNDATIONS WALLS AND RETAINING STRUCTURE RECOMMENDATIONS	7
12.0 SITE DRAINAGE RECOMMENDATIONS.....	7
13.0 SITE GRADING RECOMMENDATIONS.....	8
14.0 LIMITATIONS.....	9

List of Figures, Tables and Appendices.

Figure #1	Vicinity Map
Figure #2	Site Plan/Location of Test Pits
Figure #3	Logs of Exploratory Test Pits
Figure #4	Legend and Notes
Figures #5 - #9	Swell-Consolidation Test Results
Figure #10	Standard Proctor Test Results
Figure #11	Bearing Capacity Chart
Figure #12	Hung Partition Wall Detail
Figure #13	Perimeter/Underdrain Detail
Table A	Summary of Swell Test Results
Table 1	Summary of Laboratory Test Result

1.0 CONCLUSIONS

Based on results of the field and laboratory investigations, NWCC, Inc. (NWCC) recommends the proposed structures be founded on footings placed on the natural sands and clays, sands and gravels, bedrock materials and/or properly compacted structural fill materials placed on the natural soils and bedrock materials.

2.0 PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF WORK

This report presents the results of the Subsoil and Foundation Investigation completed for the proposed Wild Blue Gondola Terminal and Restaurant, to be located south of Burgess Creek Road and within the Steamboat Ski Resort. The approximate location of the project site is shown in Figure #1.

The scope of our work included obtaining data from a visual inspection of the site; the excavation of eight (8) test pits; sampling of the soils and bedrock materials, and the laboratory testing of the samples obtained. This report summarizes the results of the field investigation and the laboratory test results, as well as our recommendations for foundation design, floor slabs, foundation walls and site grading based on our understanding of the proposed construction and the subsurface conditions encountered.

3.0 PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

NWCC understands the proposed construction will consist of a restaurant/ski school building and gondola terminal/midway station. NWCC has assumed the lower levels of the buildings will be constructed with concrete slab-on-grade floor systems placed near or below the existing ground surface. We have assumed the loads generated by the proposed building structures will be moderate, typical of this type of commercial construction.

Site grading, roadway and utility construction will be required. NWCC understands that proposed cuts and fills for the site will be on the order of 5 to 15 feet or less and that the eastern portion of the site will be cut while the western portion will be filled.

4.0 SITE CONDITIONS

The project site is located south of Burgess Creek Road and north of the existing Bashor Lift Station at the Steamboat Ski Resort in Steamboat Springs, Colorado.

The site currently consists of vacant land with a bike trail running through it. Topography of the site generally slopes moderately to strongly down to the west on the order of 8 to 12 percent.

Vegetation at the site consists of deciduous bushes, grasses, wildflowers and occasional pine tree saplings and young aspen trees. It appears that numerous beetle-killed pine trees had been cut down at the site in the past.

5.0 FIELD INVESTIGATION

The field investigation was conducted on July 9, 2019. Eight (8) test pits were excavated at the approximate locations shown in Figure #2 using a CAT 320 E trackhoe provided by the client. Test pits were logged, and samples were obtained at the time of excavation by an engineer from NWCC. Graphic logs of the exploratory test pits are shown in Figure #3, and associated Legend and Notes are shown in Figure #4.

6.0 LABORATORY INVESTIGATION

Samples obtained from the test pits were examined and classified in the laboratory by the project engineer. Laboratory testing included standard index property tests including natural densities and moisture contents, dry unit weights, grain size analyses and Atterberg limits. Swell-consolidation testing was also conducted on relatively undisturbed samples of the probable foundation soils and bedrock materials. Swell-consolidation test results are shown in Figures #5 through #9 and the results are discussed in the following section. Standard Proctor Testing was conducted on a sample of probable materials to be used as fill and the results are shown in Figure #10. Results of the laboratory testing are summarized in the attached Table #1. Laboratory testing was conducted in general accordance with applicable ASTM specifications.

7.0 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

Subsurface conditions encountered in the test pits were variable and generally consisted of a layer of natural topsoil and organic materials overlying natural sands and clays or sands and gravels overlying sandstone or granite bedrock to the maximum depth investigated, 13 feet beneath existing ground surface (bgs).

A layer of natural topsoil and organic materials, ranging from approximately 12 to 18 inches in thickness, was encountered at the ground surface in all test pits. The natural topsoil and organic materials were silty and sandy, very low plastic, dry to moist and dark brown in color.

Sands and clays were encountered beneath the topsoil and organic materials in Test Pits 1, 5, 6, 7, and 8 and extended to 5, 12, 6, 9 and 5 feet bgs, respectively. The sands and clays were slightly silty to silty, fine to coarse grained with occasional sandstone and schist bedrock fragments, very low to low plastic, medium dense to stiff, slightly moist to moist and brown to tan in color. Samples of the sands and clays classified as CL, SC and SC-SM soils in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS).

Sandstone bedrock was encountered beneath the sands and clays in Test Pits 1, 6, 7 and 8 and extended to the maximum depths investigated in each test pit. The sandstone bedrock was of the Browns Park Formation, was silty to clayey to very clayey, fine to coarse-grained with occasional gravel-sized clasts, low plastic, slightly weathered to hard and tan in color. Samples of the sandstone bedrock classified as CL-SC, SM and SC soils in accordance with the USCS.

Sands and gravels were encountered beneath the topsoil and organic materials in Test Pit 2 and extended to the maximum depth investigated, 13 feet bgs. The sands and gravels were slightly silty to silty, fine to coarse-grained with occasional cobbles, very low to non-plastic, dense to very dense, moist and brown in color. A sample of the sands and gravels classified as an SM soil in accordance with the USCS.

Crystalline bedrock was encountered beneath the topsoil and organics in Test Pits 3 and 4 and extended to the maximum depths investigated in each test pit. It should be noted that refusal on very hard crystalline bedrock was encountered at 7 feet bgs in Test Pit 4. The crystalline bedrock consisted of schist and gneissic granite, was fine to coarse-textured, non-plastic, weathered to very hard, slightly moist, brown to reddish brown to gray to black and white. Samples of the crystalline bedrock classified as SM and SM-GM soils in accordance with the USCS.

Swell-consolidation testing conducted on samples of the sands and clays indicate the materials tested will exhibit a low to nil swell potential and low consolidation when wetted under a constant load. The swell-consolidation test results are shown in Figures #5 through #9, and all the other test results are summarized in the attached Table 1. A summary of the swell test results is shown in Table A below.

TABLE A
SUMMARY OF SWELL TEST RESULTS

Soil Type	Consolidation	Range of Swell (%)			
	<0	Low 0 to <2	Moderate 2 to <4	High 4 to <6	Very High >6
	Number of Samples and Percent				
Natural Sands and Clays	1	4	0	0	0
Percent	20%	80%	0%	0%	0%

Groundwater seepage was not encountered in any of the test pits at the time of excavation and no signs of a seasonal high groundwater table were observed. It should be noted that the groundwater conditions at this site can be expected to fluctuate with precipitation and seasonal runoff.

Based on the subsurface conditions encountered at the site, the laboratory test results and our review of the available literature, NWCC recommends that a Site Class C be used for the foundation designs in accordance with Table 20.3-1 in Chapter 20 of ASCE 7-10.

8.0 FOUNDATION RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the results of the field and laboratory investigations and our experience with similar projects, NWCC believes a safe and economical foundation system will consist of spread footings or individual pads with grade beams founded on the sands and clays, sands and gravels, underlying bedrock materials or on properly compacted structural fill materials overlying the sands and clays, sands and gravels or underlying bedrock materials.

The precautions and recommendations itemized below will not prevent the movement beneath the foundation if the underlying sands and clays or bedrock materials swell. However, they should reduce the amount of differential movement beneath the foundation system.

- 1) Footings placed on the undisturbed natural sands and clays, sands and gravels, bedrock materials or on properly compacted structural fill materials should be designed using an allowable soil bearing pressure of 3,000 psf. Based on the swell-potential of the natural sands and clays and sandstone bedrock materials, the footings should also be designed for a minimum dead load pressure of at least 600 psf.
- 2) Any topsoil and organic materials or loose and soft natural soils found beneath the footings should be removed and footings extended down to the natural sands and clays, sands and gravels or bedrock materials prior to structural fill or concrete placement. Structural fill materials must consist of a non-expansive granular soil approved by NWCC. Structural fill materials should be uniformly placed and compacted in 6 to 8 inch loose lifts and compacted to at least 100% of the maximum standard Proctor density and within 2% of the optimum moisture content determined in accordance with ASTM D698 or 80% of the maximum relative density if screened or washed gravels are used as structural fill. Structural fill materials should extend out from the edge of the footings on a 1(horizontal) to 1(vertical) or flatter slope.
- 3) Footings may have to be narrow or interrupted to maintain the minimum dead load. The foundation design should be closely checked to assure that it distributes the loads per the allowable pressures given.
- 4) Foundation walls should be designed and reinforced to span an unsupported distance of 10 feet or the length between pads, whichever is greater.
- 5) Footings or pads should be placed well enough below final backfill grades to protect them from frost heave. Forty-eight (48) inches is typical for this location considering normal snow cover and other winter factors.
- 6) Based on experience, we estimate the total settlement for footings and pads designed and constructed as discussed in this section will be approximately 1 inch. Additional bearing capacity values along with the associated settlements are presented in Figure #11.

- 7) We strongly recommend that the client retain NWCC to observe the foundation excavations when they are near completion to identify the bearing soils and confirm the recommendations in this report, as well as test the structural fill materials placed beneath the foundations for compaction.

9.0 FLOOR SLAB RECOMMENDATIONS

NWCC has assumed the proposed buildings will be constructed with concrete slab-on-grade floor systems placed near or below the existing ground surface. On-site soils, apart from the topsoil and organic materials, are capable of supporting slab-on-grade construction. However, floor slabs present a very difficult problem where swelling materials are present near floor slab elevation because sufficient dead load cannot be imposed on them to resist the uplift pressure generated when materials are wetted and expand.

If the client elects to construct concrete slab-on-grade floor systems, we recommend the following special design and construction precautions be followed so that the amount of movement in the floor slabs can be reduced if the sands and clays or sandstone bedrock materials become wetted and swell.

- 1) Floor slabs should be separated from all bearing walls, columns and their foundation supports with a positive slip joint. We recommend the use of ½-inch thick cellotex or impregnated felt.
- 2) Interior non-bearing partition walls resting on the floor slabs should be provided with a slip joint, preferably at the bottom, so that in the event the floor slab moves, this movement is not transmitted to the upper structure. This detail is also important for wallboard and doorframes and is shown in Figure #12.
- 3) A minimum 6-inch gravel layer should be provided beneath all floor slabs to act as a capillary break and to help distribute pressures. Prior to placing the gravel, the excavation should be shaped so that if water does get under the slab, it will flow to the low point of the excavation. In addition, all the topsoil and organic materials and any existing fill materials should be removed prior to placement of the underslab gravels or new structural fill materials.
- 4) Floor slabs should be provided with control joints placed a maximum of 10 to 12 feet on center in each direction, depending on slab configurations, to help control shrinkage cracking. The location of the joints should be carefully checked to assure that the natural, unavoidable cracking will be controlled. The depth of the control joints should be a minimum of ¼ the thickness of the slab.
- 5) Underslab soils should be kept as close as possible to their in-situ moisture content. Excessive wetting or drying of these soils prior to placement of the floor slab could result in differential movement after the slabs are constructed.
- 6) It has been our experience that the risk of floor slab movement can be reduced by removing at least 2 feet of the expansive materials and replacing them with a well compacted, non-expansive

fill. If this is done, or if fills are required to bring the underslab soils to the desired grade, the fill should consist of non-expansive, granular materials. The fill should be uniformly placed and compacted in 6 to 8-inch lifts to at least 95% of the maximum standard Proctor density at or near the optimum moisture content, as determined by ASTM D-698.

The above precautions and recommendations will not prevent floor slab movement in the event the sands and clays or sandstone bedrock materials beneath the floor slabs undergo moisture changes. However, they should reduce the amount of damage if such movement occurs. The only way to eliminate the risk of all floor slab movement is to construct a structural floor over a well-vented crawl space or void form materials.

10.0 PERIMETER DRAINAGE SYSTEM RECOMMENDATIONS

Any floor levels or crawl space areas constructed below the existing or finished ground surfaces and the foundations should be protected by underdrain systems to help reduce the problems associated with surface and subsurface drainage during high runoff periods.

Localized perched water or runoff can infiltrate the lower levels of the structures at the foundation levels. This water can be one of the primary causes of differential foundation and slab movement, especially where expansive soils and bedrock materials are encountered. Excessive moisture in crawl space areas or lower levels can also lead to rotting and mildewing of wooden structural members and the formation of mold and mold spores. Formation of mold and mold spores could have detrimental effects on the air quality in these areas, which in turn can lead to potential adverse health effects.

Drains should be located around entire perimeter of the lower levels and be placed and at least 12 inches below any floor slab or crawl space levels and at least 6 inches below the foundation voids and bottom of the footings. NWCC recommends the use of perforated PVC pipe for the drainpipe, which meets or exceeds ASTM D-3034/SDR 35 requirements, to minimize potential for pipe crushing during backfill operations. Holes in the drainpipe should be oriented down between 4 o'clock and 8 o'clock to promote rapid runoff of water. Drainpipes should be surrounded with at least 12 inches of free draining gravel and should be protected from contamination by a filter covering of Mirafi 140N subsurface drainage fabric or an equivalent product. Drains should have a minimum slope of 1/8 inch per foot and be daylighted at positive outfalls protected from freezing or be led to sumps from which water can be pumped. The use of interior laterals, multiple daylights or sumps may be required for the proposed structure. Caution should be taken when backfilling so as not to damage or disturb the installed underdrains. NWCC recommends the drainage systems include a cleanout every 100 feet, be protected against intrusion by animals at outfalls and be tested prior to backfilling. NWCC also recommends the client retain our firm to observe the underdrain systems during construction to verify that they are being installed in accordance with recommendations provided in this report and observe a flow test prior to backfilling the system.

Additionally, NWCC recommends an impervious barrier be constructed to keep water from infiltrating through the voided areas and/or under the foundation walls or footings. Barrier should be constructed of

an impervious material, which is approved by this office and placed below the perimeter drain and up against the sides of the foundation walls. A typical perimeter/underdrain detail is shown in Figure #13.

Placement of and impervious membrane and/or properly compacted clays in crawl space areas to the top of the footings or at least 12 inches above the top of the foundation voids or bottom of the foundation walls should help reduce the moisture problems in these areas.

11.0 FOUNDATIONS WALLS AND RETAINING STRUCTURE RECOMMENDATIONS

Foundation walls and retaining structures, which are laterally supported and can be expected to undergo only a moderate amount of deflection (at rest), may be designed for a lateral earth pressure computed on the basis of an equivalent fluid unit weight of 45 pcf for imported, free draining granular backfill and 55 pcf for the on-site soils and bedrock materials.

Cantilevered retaining structures on the site can be expected to deflect sufficiently to mobilize the full active earth pressure condition. Therefore, cantilevered structures may be designed for a lateral earth pressure computed based on an equivalent fluid unit weight of 35 pcf for imported, free draining granular backfill and 45 pcf for the on-site soils and bedrock materials.

Foundation walls and retaining structures should be designed for appropriate hydrostatic and surcharge pressures such as adjacent buildings, traffic and construction materials. An upward sloping backfill and/or natural slope will also increase the earth pressures on foundation walls and retaining structures.

NWCC recommends imported granular soils for backfilling foundation walls and retaining structures because their use results in lower lateral earth pressures. The imported granular materials should be placed to within 2 to 3 feet of the ground surface. Imported granular soils should be free draining and have less than 7 percent passing the No. 200 sieve. The granular soils behind foundation and retaining walls should be sloped from the base of the wall at an angle of at least 45 degrees from the vertical. The upper 2 to 3 feet of fill should be a relatively impervious soil or pavement structure to prevent surface water infiltration into the backfill.

Wall backfill should be carefully placed in uniform lifts and compacted to at least 95 % of the maximum standard Proctor density and within 2% of the optimum moisture content. Care should be taken not to overcompact the backfill since this could cause excessive lateral pressure on the walls. Some settlement of deep foundation wall backfill materials will occur even if the material is placed correctly.

12.0 SITE DRAINAGE RECOMMENDATIONS

Proper surface drainage at the site is of paramount importance for minimizing the infiltration of surface drainage into the wall backfill and bearing soils, which could result in increased wall pressures, differential foundation and slab movement. The following drainage precautions should be observed during construction and at all times after the structures have been completed:

- 1) Ground surface surrounding the structures should be sloped (minimum of 1.0 inch per foot) to drain away from the structures in all directions to a minimum of 10 feet. Ponding must be

avoided. If necessary, raising the top of foundation walls to achieve a better surface grade is advisable.

- 2) Non-structural backfill placed around the structures should be compacted to at least 95% of the maximum standard Proctor density at or near the optimum moisture content in order to minimize future settlement of the fill. Backfill should be placed immediately after the braced foundation walls are able to structurally support the fill. Puddling or sluicing must be avoided.
- 3) Top 2 to 3 feet of soil placed within 10 feet of the foundations should be impervious in nature to minimize infiltration of surface water into the wall backfill.
- 4) Roof downspouts and drains should discharge well beyond the limits of all backfill. Roof overhangs, which project two to three feet beyond the foundations, should be considered if gutters are not used.
- 5) Landscaping, which requires excessive watering and lawn sprinkler heads, should be located a minimum of 10 feet from the foundation walls of the structures.
- 6) Plastic membranes should not be used to cover the ground surface adjacent to foundation walls.

13.0 SITE GRADING RECOMMENDATIONS

Slopes on which the proposed structures and access roads are proposed could become unstable as a result of the proposed construction. Design and construction considerations must be addressed to avoid and/or limit the potential for slope instability at the site. Although a detailed slope stability analysis is beyond the scope of this report, some general guidelines are provided below for initial planning and design. Our office should review the construction plans as they are being prepared so that we can verify that our recommendations are being properly incorporated into the plans.

- 1) Slopes greater than 25 percent should be avoided whenever possible for construction of permanent roads and structures.
- 2) Temporary cuts for foundation construction should be constructed to OSHA standards for temporary excavations. Permanent, unretained cuts for roadways or building sites should be kept as shallow as possible and should not exceed a 3(Horizontal) to 1(Vertical) or flatter configuration for the topsoil and organic materials and a 2(Horizontal) to 1(Vertical) or flatter configuration for the underlying overburden soils and weathered bedrock materials. We recommend these cuts be limited to 10 feet in height or less unless stable bedrock is encountered. The risk of slope instability will be significantly increased if groundwater seepage is encountered in the cuts. NWCC office should be notified immediately to evaluate the site if seepage is encountered or deeper cuts are planned and assess whether additional investigations and/or stabilization measures are warranted.

- 3) Excavating during periods of low runoff at the site can reduce potential slope instability during excavation. Excavations should not be attempted during the spring or early summer when seasonal runoff and groundwater levels are typically high.
- 4) Fills up to 15 feet in height can be constructed at the site and should be constructed to a 2(Horizontal) to 1(Vertical) configuration. The fill areas should be prepared by stripping any existing fill materials and topsoil and organics, scarification and compaction to at least 95% of the maximum standard Proctor density and within 2% of optimum moisture content as determined by ASTM D698. The fills should be properly benched/keyed into the natural hillsides after the natural topsoil and organic materials have been removed. The fill materials should consist of the on-site soils (exclusive of topsoil, organics or clays) and be uniformly placed and compacted in 6 to 8-inch loose lifts to the minimum density value and moisture content range indicated above.
- 5) Proper surface drainage features should be provided around all permanent cuts and fills and steep natural slopes to direct surface runoff away from these areas. Cuts, fills and other stripped areas should be protected against erosion by revegetation or other methods. Areas of concentrated drainage should be avoided and may require the use of riprap for erosion control. NWCC recommends that a maximum of 4 inches of topsoil be placed over the new cut and fill slopes. It should be noted that the newly placed topsoil materials may slough/slide off the slopes during the spring runoff seasons until the root zone in the vegetated cover establishes.
- 6) A qualified engineer experienced in this area should prepare site grading and drainage plans. The contractor must provide a construction sequencing plan for excavation, wall construction and bracing and backfilling for the steeper and more sensitive portions of the site prior to starting the excavations or construction.

14.0 LIMITATIONS

The recommendations provided in this report are based on the subsurface conditions encountered in the test pits advanced across the project site and our understanding of the proposed construction. We believe that this information gives a high degree of reliability for anticipating the behavior of the proposed structures; however, our recommendations are professional opinions and cannot control nature, nor can they assure the soils profiles beneath those or adjacent to those observed. No warranties expressed or implied are given on the content of this report.

Expansive soils and bedrock materials were encountered at the site. These soils are stable at their natural moisture content but can shrink or swell with changes in moisture. The behavior of expansive soils and bedrock materials is not fully understood. The swell potential of any site can change erratically both in lateral and vertical extent. Moisture changes also occur erratically, resulting in conditions which cannot always be predicted. The recommendations presented in this report are based on the current state of the art for foundations and floor slabs on expansive soils and bedrock. The owner should be aware that there is a risk in construction on these types of soil. Performance of the structures will depend on following the recommendations and in proper maintenance after construction is complete. As water is the main

cause for volume change in these soils, it is necessary that the changes in moisture content be kept to a minimum. This requires judicious irrigation and providing positive surface drainage away from the structures. Any distress noted in the structures should be brought to the attention of this office.

This report is based on the investigation at the described site and on the specific anticipated construction as stated herein. If either of these conditions is changed, the results would also most likely change. Therefore, we strongly recommend that our firm be contacted prior to finalizing the construction plans so that we can verify that our recommendations are being properly incorporated into the construction plans. Man-made or natural changes in the conditions of a property can also occur over time. In addition, changes in requirements due to state-of-the-art knowledge and/or legislation do from time to time occur. As a result, the findings of this report may become invalid due to these changes. Therefore, this report is subject to review and not considered valid after a period of 3 years or if conditions as stated above are altered. It is the responsibility of the owner or his representative to ensure that the information in this report is incorporated into the plans and/or specifications and construction of the project. It is advisable that a contractor familiar with construction details typically used to dealing with the local subsoils and climatic conditions be retained to build the structures.

If you have any questions regarding this report or if we may be of further service, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,
NWCC, INC.

Erika K. Hill, E.I.T.
Project Engineer


Reviewed by Brian D. Len, P.E.
Principal Engineer





NOT TO SCALE

PROJECT SITE



Title: VICINITY MAP


Date: 7/17/19


Job Name: The Wild Blue Terminal and Restaurant

Job No. 19-11550

Location: Steamboat Ski Resort, Steamboat Springs, CO

Figure #1






 N

 NOT TO SCALE




Title: SITE PLAN/LOCATION OF TEST PITS	Date: 7/17/19	 North West Colorado Consultants, Inc. Geotechnical / Environmental Engineering - Materials Testing (970) 875-7888 • Fax (970) 875-7881 2500 Copper Ridge Drive Steamboat Springs, Colorado 80487
Job Name: The Wild Blue Terminal and Restaurant	Job No. 19-11550	
LOCATION: Steamboat Ski Resort, Steamboat Springs, CO	Figure #2	

Depth




Test Pit 1



Test Pit 2




Test Pit 3




Test Pit 4




Test Pit 5




Test Pit 6




Test Pit 7




Test Pit 8



Depth



 <p>NWCC North West Colorado Consultants, Inc. Geotechnical / Environmental Engineering - Materials Testing (970)879-7888 Fax (970)879-7891 2580 Copper Ridge Drive Steamboat Springs, Colorado 80487</p>	Title: Logs of Exploratory Test Pits		
	Job Name: The Wild Blue Terminal and Restaurant		
	Location: Steamboat Ski Resort, Steamboat Springs, CO		
	Job No.: 19-11550	Date: 7/23/19	Fig. # 3

LEGEND:



NATURAL TOPSOIL AND ORGANICS: Silty and sandy, very low plastic, dry to moist and dark brown.



SANDS AND CLAYS: Slightly silty to silty, fine to coarse grained with occasional sandstone and schist bedrock fragments, very low to low plastic, medium dense to stiff, slightly moist to moist and brown to tan.



SANDSTONE BEDROCK: Browns Park Formation, silty to clayey to very clayey, fine to coarse grained with occasional gravel-sized clasts, low plastic, slightly weathered to hard and tan.



SANDS AND GRAVELS: Slightly silty to silty, fine to coarse grained with occasional cobbles, very low to non-plastic, dense to very dense, moist and brown.



CRYSTALLINE BEDROCK: Schist and gneissic granite, fine to coarse-textured, non-plastic, weathered to very hard, slightly moist, brown to reddish brown to gray to black and white.



California Liner Hand Drive Sample.



Small Disturbed Bag Sample




Large Disturbed Sample




Indicates trackhoe refusal on hard bedrock.

NOTES:

- 1) Test pits were excavated on July 9, 2019 with a CAT 320 E trackhoe provided by the client.
- 2) Locations of the test pits were determined in the field by pacing from existing topographic features.
- 3) Elevations of the test pits were not measured and logs are drawn to the depths investigated.
- 4) The lines between materials shown on the logs represent the approximate boundaries between material types and transitions may be gradual.

Title: LEGEND AND NOTES		Date: 7/22/19	
Job Name: The Wild Blue Terminal and Restaurant		Job No. 19-11550	
Location: Steamboat Ski Resort, Steamboat Springs, CO		Figure #4	



Title: **SWELL-CONSOLIDATION TEST RESULTS**

Date: **7/22/19**


Job Name: **The Wild Blue Terminal and Restaurant**

Job No. **19-11550**

Location: **Steamboat Ski Resort, Steamboat Springs, CO**

Figure **#5**





Title: **SWELL-CONSOLIDATION TEST RESULTS**

Date: **7/22/19**


Job Name: **The Wild Blue Terminal and Restaurant**

Job No. **19-11550**

Location: **Steamboat Ski Resort, Steamboat Springs, CO**

Figure **#6**





Title: **SWELL-CONSOLIDATION TEST RESULTS**

Date: **7/22/19**


Job Name: **The Wild Blue Terminal and Restaurant**

Job No. **19-11550**


Location: **Steamboat Ski Resort, Steamboat Springs, CO**

Figure **#7**






Title: SWELL-CONSOLIDATION TEST RESULTS		Date: 7/22/19	 <small>North West Colorado Consultants, Inc. Geotechnical / Environmental Engineering - Materials Testing (970) 879-7886 • Fax: (970) 879-7891 2580 Copper Ridge Drive Steamboat Springs, Colorado 80487</small>
Job Name: The Wild Blue Terminal and Restaurant		Job No. 19-11550	
Location: Steamboat Ski Resort, Steamboat Springs, CO		Figure #8	



Title: SWELL-CONSOLIDATION TEST RESULTS	Date: 7/22/19	 NWCC <small>North West Colorado Consultants, Inc.</small> <small>Geotechnical / Environmental Engineering - Materials Testing</small> <small>(970) 879-7868 • Fax (970) 879-7891</small> <small>2580 Copper Ridge Drive</small> <small>Steamboat Springs, Colorado 80487</small>
Job Name: The Wild Blue Terminal and Restaurant	Job No. 19-11550	
Location: Steamboat Ski Area, Steamboat Springs, CO	Figure #9	




Job Name: The Wild Blue Terminal and Restaurant	
Sample Location: Onsite Composite Sample	
Soil Description: Very Clayey Sand with Gravels (SC)	
Maximum Dry Density: 112.3 pcf	Opt. Moisture Content: 14.3 %
Liquid Limit: 26 %	Plasticity Index: 7
Gravel: 4 %	Sand: 60 % Silt & Clay (-200): 36 %


**PROCTOR TEST
RESULTS**


Sample No.:	1P
Procedure:	ASTM D698
Date:	7/22/2019
Job No: 19-11550	Figure #10





Note: These values are based on footing widths of 1 to 4 feet. If the footing width is to be greater than 4 feet in width, then we should be notified to re-evaluate these recommendations.

Title: BEARING CAPACITY CHART	Date: 7/22/19	<div>  <p>NWCC North West Colorado Consultants, Inc. Geotechnical / Environmental Engineering - Materials Testing (970)879-7888 • Fax (970)879-7891 2580 Copper Ridge Drive Steamboat Springs, Colorado 80477</p> </div>
Job Name: The Wild Blue Terminal and Restaurant	Job No. 19-11550	
Location: Steamboat Ski Resort, Steamboat Springs, CO	Figure #11	



Title: HUNG PARTITION WALL DETAIL


Date: 7/22/19



Job Name: The Wild Blue Terminal and Restaurant

Job No. 19-11550

Location: Steamboat Ski Resort, Steamboat Springs, CO

Figure #12





Title: PERIMETER/UNDERDRAIN DETAIL

Date: 7/22/19

Job Name: The Wild Blue Terminal and Restaurant

Job No. 19-11550

Location: Steamboat Ski Resort, Steamboat Springs, CO

Figure #13



TABLE 1, PAGE 1 of 2

SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SAMPLE LOCATION		NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	NATURAL DRY DENSITY (pcf)	ATTERBERG LIMITS		GRADATION		PERCENT PASSING No. 200 SIEVE	UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (PSF)	SOIL or BEDROCK DESCRIPTION	UNIFIED SOIL CLASS.
TEST HOLE	DEPTH (feet)			LIQUID LIMIT (%)	PLASTICITY INDEX (%)	GRAVEL (%)	SAND (%)				
1	4	15.9	99.5	34	14	16	34	49		Gravelly Sandy Clay	CL
1	9 1/2	15.0		30	15	1	51	48		Sandstone-Claystone Bedrock	CL-SC
2	4 1/2	7.7		NV	NP	20	70	10		Gravelly Slightly Silty Sand	SM
3	5	7.1		NV	NP	0	83	17		Weathered Schist Bedrock	SM
3	10 1/2	8.2		NV	NP	18	71	11		Weathered Schist-Gneiss Bedrock	SM
4	5	6.4		24	3	44	45	9		Weathered Granite Bedrock	SM-GM

NV = No Value

NP = Non Plastic

TABLE 1, PAGE 2 of 2

SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

SAMPLE LOCATION			NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	NATURAL DRY DENSITY (pcf)	ATTERBERG LIMITS		GRADATION		PERCENT PASSING No. 200 SIEVE	UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (PSF)	SOIL or BEDROCK DESCRIPTION	UNIFIED SOIL CLASS.
TEST HOLE	DEPTH (feet)	LIQUID LIMIT (%)			PLASTICITY INDEX (%)	GRAVEL (%)	SAND (%)					
5	5	12.9	101.1	27	8	7	55	38			Very Clayey Sand with Gravels	SC
6	5	14.7	98.2	26	5	0	57	43			Very Silty-Clayey Sand	SC-SM
6	7	13.4		25	3	0	67	33			Sandstone Bedrock	SM
7	4	17.0	95.2	30	13	0	46	54			Very Sandy Clay	CL
7	11	11.0		25	10	3	63	34			Sandstone Bedrock	SC
8	4 1/2	13.3	105.1	25	8	0	56	44			Very Clayey Sand	SC
1P	-	8.3		26	8	4	60	36			Very Clayey Sand with Gravels	SC

NV = No Value

NP = Non Plastic

JOB NUMBER: 19-11550