



Corrections Notice

May 01, 2023

Permit Application: SPRMU230307 Property address: 1901 CURVE PLZ;

The following are the comments regarding the plan review for the above referenced application. We have noted several concerns and/or non-conforming items regarding the work to be performed. These items must be addressed through revised drawings submitted online through your My Items page in order to proceed.

Building Code Review (Reviewed By: Ted Allen)

Following is a list of items, found during a cursory review that will need to be addressed prior to re- submitting the plans for review. Please be aware that this is not a complete plan review. These items and any subsequent review questions will need to be addressed prior to the Building Permit being issued:

1. While the geotechnical report suggests contacting NWCC to coordinate with the micropile contractor, the foundation design and layout was provided without any mention of product or contractor. As a minimum, three (3) test piles shall be advanced at each building site so that a pre-production pull test may be conducted. The person or firm responsible for providing micropiles shall submit final shop drawings, stamped and signed as requested and approved by the Engineer of Record (EOR).

Response: Testing to be specified by micropile design engineer. See Deferred Submittal Notes on Sheet S0002.

2. Submittal documents consisting of statement of special inspections, reports and other data shown on Sheet S0003 designates the portions of the work that require special inspection and indicates the duties of the special inspectors. Include the following in statement of special inspections and responsibilities: **Response: See statement of special inspections on sheet S0002**.

a. Provide documentation of the name of individual or firm that is responsible for testing and special inspection(s) of micropiles.

Response: The micropile engineer and supplier will be selected during construction. Per the general notes on S0002, a stamped micropile design, provided to the EOR for review and approval, must be approved before installation of any micropiles

b. Provide frequency of testing per notes of the shop drawing submittal above.

Response: Frequency of testing to be provided by design engineer.

c. Provide the amount of time the reports and inspections for Phase I are to be submitted to the building department.

Response: See statement of special inspections on sheet S0002.

d. Also note the condition placed on the permit that requires Final Micropile Special Inspection Report to be submitted to RCRBD prior to inspection of grade beams.

Response: See special inspection table on sheet S0003

3. Looking at Tables 601 and Reference of Type VA Primary Structural Frame on Sheet G0003 appears to reflect Type IIIB where exterior bearing walls are 2-Hour. There are also references for FRT wood throughout 136 6th Street, Suite 201, Steamboat Springs, Colorado 80487 * 970.870.5566 * 970.870.5489 (fax) * building@co.routt.co.us





the plans. Please verify type of construction and note the differences when designing with Type VA and furnish all required fire assemblies.

Please reference updated section 601 in code matrix on G0003 which removes the IIIB reference, which was in error.

4. Also, the code analysis references COMcheck but was not submitted.

COMcheck is now included in permit resubmission. Please references sheet G0005.

Re: Partition Type N on Sheet A1000:

a. Fire-resistance ratings, STCs, FSTCs, and IICs are the results of tests conducted on systems composed of specific materials put together in a specified manner. Many UL listed assemblies are "Proprietary," meaning that very specific brand name products must be used in the construction of the assemblies. Provide copies of fire-resistive assemblies to include testing of the desired STC rating.

Please see revised sheet A1000 with new generic UL listing information referenced.

b. Many of these systems have furring channels or multiple layers required for fire or sound. Check to see that all materials required in the assembly are represented in the drawings and verify the assemblies apply to the structural members specified on the structural plans. For example, when not specified as a component of a fire-resistance rated wall or partition system, how are wood structural panels permitted to be added to one or both sides? Provide general explanatory notes added to drawings.

Sheet A1000 has been updated to include the Generic UL listing and has been modified to be consistent with details shown in drawings.

c. Unless otherwise specified, the face layers of all systems shall have joints taped and fastener heads treated. Base layers in multi-layer systems shall not be required to have joints or fasteners taped. Note where the gypsum board extends above the ceiling to floor/roof deck requires joints to be taped and fasteners need to be covered unless an exception is provided. Where required the plans shall show fire-resistance rated partitions extending above the ceiling, not as depicted in Details 9/A0900, 11/A0901, 1/A0910, 2/A0910, Partition Type N on Sheet A1000,

Please see revised sheets A0900, A0901, A910, A1000 which now show the gyp board extending above the finished ceiling where required.

5. While subject to compliance under Chapter 11 of the IBC, any development with seven or more units is subject to Title 9 Article 5 of The Colorado Revised Statutes amended and signed into law on April 29, 2003. Provide narrative to include details of compliance and schedule if dispersed throughout later phases of construction.

Please see revised code matrix on G0003 and updated site plan on A0100 which shows which units in the entire project are "visitable".

6. Provide details of all required fire-resistive construction with complete assemblies to include fire walls, party walls, rated floor and roof ceilings, fire partition and penetrations of wall or floor-ceiling assemblies required to protect penetrations in fire-resistance-rated assemblies in accordance with IBC Section 714. Include STC ratings between all units and public spaces.

Please see NEW sheet G0004, which includes all typical penetration and fire-resistive construction details. STC ratings are included on the wall types on sheet A1000.

7. While the calculations submitted include several notes regarding items to check and some with references to corrections made to the plans, there appear to be several items of concern as follows:





Response: See sheet 82 in Basecamp Townhomes – TH1Structural Calculations for updated header calculation

b. Interior 2x4 bearing wall analyzed by Forte would fail by 8%. This may not seem to be much, however, considering the members appear to have been designed with a duration factor of 1.15. For our area use a duration factor of 1.0 (not reduced).

Response: See sheet 113 in Basecamp Townhomes – TH1Structural Calculations for updated bearing wall calculation.

c. Several shear walls analyzes by Tekla failed due to excessive deflection and several other shear wall segments were not analyzed due to aspect ratio exceeding maximum allowable.

Response: See sheets 167-304 in Basecamp Townhomes– TH1 Structural Calculations forupdated shear wall calculations.

d. RCRBD finds the labelling of shear walls on the floor and roof plan to be inconsistent with the calculations and hold down callouts when referencing anchor bolts in concrete. **Response: See S0213-TH1 for updated shear wall callout.**

Informational: RCRBD places condition on inspections provided for foundation inspection that shall not be conducted until copies of special inspections to include Miocropile Final Report is submitted to RCRBD and approved. RCRBD does not accept any responsibility for any condition that was not known at the time of this report. We reserve the right to amend this report if additional information is received.

Engineering Review (Reviewed By: Emrick Soltis, P.E.)

1. See Planning comments.

Planning Review (Reviewed By: Kelly Douglas)

1. There is an outstanding DPVC-21-16 condition of approval required to be addressed prior to building permit approval:

Prior to Building Permit issuance, the developer shall pay their proportionate share of potential future roadway and/or intersection improvements at Downhill Drive at US 40 intersection, calculated at 0.62% of 8,333,132.02 or \$51,665.42

Response: This will be paid when permit is issued, along with all other permit and city fees.

2. There is an outstanding DPVC-21-16 condition of approval required to be addressed prior to building permit approval:

A development agreement shall be recorded to document phasing prior to building permit approval. **Response: This will be submitted week of 5/22/23.**

3. There is an outstanding DPVC-21-16 condition of approval required to be addressed prior to building permit approval:

Prior to Building Permit issuance for Phase A, the mural on the commercial container will require approval through the Substantial Conformance process. **Response: This will be addressed when the permit set is submitted for the corner lot (new Phase B).**

4. The plans for this permit (SPRMU230307) are not consistent with the phasing plan approved with DPVC-21-16. Substantial Conformance is required in order to amend the phasing plan.

Response: Substantial Conformance Application was submitted to the Planning Department on 5/5/23. 136 6th Street, Suite 201, Steamboat Springs, Colorado 80487 * 970.870.5566 * 970.870.5489 (fax) * building@co.routt.co.us





Reference Application No. PL20230131.

5. Please add and label existing grade to all elevations in order to confirm compliance with overall height and average plate height standards.

Response: These have been added to sheets A0310-TH1 & A0311-TH1.

Utilities Review - City (Reviewed By: Amber Gregory)

- 1. 5'- min separation required
- 2. 10' min sep.
- 3. 5' separation
- 4. relocate the irrigation line from the blowoff
- 5. svs cannot be right at bend/deflection
- 6. 5-feet of separation is required between taps- apply to all locations
- 7. provide lengths of new 4" pipe between fittings. How large is the deflection?
- 8. provide lengths between fittings and bend sizes
- 9. bend?

Response: All the above comments have been addressed in the revised Civil set of plans. Specifically, see revised sheets C.200, C.210, C.240.

If I can provide any further information to you, please feel free to contact me at (970) 870-5334 or by email at mmichael-ferrier@co.routt.co.us.

Sincerely,

A alea Michael

Malea Michael-Ferrier Sr Permit Tech/Plan Reviewer Assistant